Heat transport in helical RFX-mod plasmas by
electron temperature dynamics from soft-x-ray diagnostics
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High time resolution electron temperature profiles. High current plasmas in the Reversed Field Pinch (RFP) experiment RFX-mod [1] are often characterized by a saturated resistive kink mode which dominates the magnetic perturbation spectrum (Quasi Single Helicity - QSH). In these conditions the plasma becomes helically symmetric with core electron temperatures $T_e$ in the range $\sim$0.8-1.2keV and high thermal gradients ($\sim$2-6 keV/m) [2]. Thanks to a recently implemented soft-x-ray (SXR) diagnostic (called DSX3 in the following, [3]), based on the double filter technique, $T_e$ profiles can be detected with a high time resolution (10kHz). This allows, for the first time in RFX-mod, to follow with accuracy the thermal gradient evolution [3] and to study the associated energy transport dynamic. Analyses are performed taking into account the correct non-axisymmetric magnetic topology by a suitable radial coordinate $s$ proportional to the square root of the normalized magnetic flux enclosed by the helical flux surfaces.

Fig.1 shows a typical QSH cycle during a RFX-mod plasma discharge. The behavior of the dominant magnetic mode (with poloidal/toroidal numbers $m=1$, $n=-7$) is reported in black in panel (a): after a rise which lasts for about 5 ms, its amplitude oscillates around $\sim$30mT for a period of 15 ms and then returns to low values. Meanwhile, the rms of the other resonant $m=1$ tearing modes (red line) keeps very low amplitude (2-3 mT). The corresponding evolution of the maximum $T_e$ gradient, computed taking into account the helical geometry, is reported in panel (b). As clear from this figure, high gradient values do not persist for all the magnetic QSH cycle because of relevant crashes which may occur even for small variations of secondary modes. An

![Figure 1(a) Evolution of the dominant mode (b$_m$: $m=1$, $n=-7$ in black) and rms of the secondary modes (b$_s$ in red) during a QSH cycle. (b) Dynamic of the helical structure maximum thermal gradient.](image)


example of electron temperature profile from DSX3 during a QSH phase is reported in fig. 2-a) (black dots) with a fit performed by a spline (red line). $T_e$ measurements are available for about half the radius of the device, till $s=0.6$; the $T_e$ profile is then completed by using data from the thermal helium beam diagnostic [4] which detects the electron temperature in the edge region (black squares). A transport barrier is visible in the area delimited by the dotted lines where a lower value of thermal diffusivity is expected.

**Heat transport modeling.** A set of about 20 temperature profiles from the DSX3 diagnostic, with steep $T_e$ gradients during different QSH phases, has been considered; these plasmas are characterized by a plasma current in the range $I_p=1.4-1.9$MA and density $n_e$ between $2-5 \cdot 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$. For each temperature profile the heat transport equation is solved, in order to evaluate the electron thermal diffusivity $\chi_e$ in the barrier region. To this end a reconstruction of the helical geometry is performed by two numerical tools: the SHEq [5] code has been used to evaluate the safety factor profiles of the analyzed plasmas which are then given as inputs to the VMEC [6] code together with $I_p$, the total magnetic flux and the shape of the last closed surface. VMEC reconstructs the helical equilibrium and magnetic topology and, among the final outputs, provides: the component of the metric tensor $g^{ss}$ relative to the $s$ flux coordinate, the volume derivative $V_p$ and the density current profiles $J$ which directly enter in the energy transport equation in helical coordinates:

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( n_e T_e \right) = \frac{1}{V_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( n_e \chi_e \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial s} V_p < g^{ss} > \right) + < P_{in} >$$

(1)

where the brackets $<...>$ stands for flux surface average (thus all quantities depend only on $s$), and $P_{in}=\eta J^2$ is the ohmic input power density distribution, with $\eta$ the Spitzer resistivity.

$\chi_e(s)$ can be isolated by integrating Eq.1 between 0 and $s$. Neglecting, for now, the term containing the time derivative on the left hand side, the spatial profile of $\chi_e$ obtained by Eq.1
in the barrier region, relative to the $T_e$ profile in fig.2-a), is shown in fig. 2-b). Errors (dashed lines) mainly come from: the uncertainty of the power input (20-30%), the $T_e$ measurements (5%), the $T_e$ values at the edge (5-10%) and from the spline approximation (∼5-10%).

This latter in particular may strongly affect the final estimate of the diffusivity. Therefore, the gradient has been determined by a linear fit at the barrier too (blue straight line in fig 1-a); the corresponding $\chi_e$ value is shown as a blue point in fig. 2-b). At the barrier the thermal confinement improves significantly, as $\chi_e$ decreases to a value between 5 and 10m$^2$/s well below ~ 40-100 m$^2$/s typical of the outer regions. Even these values are greater than the corresponding neoclassical estimate of about $\chi_{e,neo} \leq 1$m$^2$/s [7], indicating that other mechanisms, like magnetic, electrostatic or thermal instabilities, are still deteriorating the confinement.

**Time evolution of the transport barriers by the ASTRA code.** The evolution of thermal gradient during QSH cycles has been deeper investigated by using the tokamak transport code ASTRA [8] adapted to RFP devices and helical states. Experimental $T_e$ profiles sampled every 0.5 ms during a QSH phase are given as inputs to the code and fitted by a spline, like those reported in Fig.3(a) relative to three different times. ASTRA solves at each time the heat transport equation and provides the corresponding $\chi_e(s)$ profile in order to match the $T_e$ data. At $t=t_0$ (dashed line) the Te gradient is low and a barrier is not clearly visible. Then, the electron temperature and its gradient increase in the region between $s = 0.2$ and 0.6 (black thick line in Fig. 3-(a) at $t=165\mathrm{ms}=t_F$). The corresponding spatial diffusivity profiles computed by ASTRA at $t=t_0$ and $t_F$ are shown in Fig. 3-(d). The complete time evolution of the averaged $\chi_e$ in the barrier region is reported in 3-(c) both with the inclusion of the time derivative term $\partial_t(n_e T_e)$ (red line) and without (black line): the difference between the two estimates is always below 10%. This result is consistent also with other computations.
performed by using the VMEC outputs in a scenario where the dominant mode and the core $T_e$ were fast increasing; even in this case the correct $\chi_e$ is only 6% lower than the one computed in a simplified stationary approximation. The values of $\chi_e$ mainly follow the secondary modes amplitude oscillations (see Fig. 3-(c) and 3-(d) ).

In most of the analyzed QSH cycles we observe a similar phenomenology for the evolution of the electron temperature. It is worth to note that in these regimes $T_e$ is not always characterized by a totally flat temperature profile in the core near the axis sustained by a steep gradient (like the one corresponding at the time $t_f$ in Fig. 3-(a) ) ; such a profile is typically observed for less than 2-3 ms.

$\chi_e$ values and magnetic instabilities. The estimate of $\chi_e$ computed by using SHEq/VMEC data and those obtained in the cases analyzed by ASTRA (the value corresponding to the steepest gradient and minimum $\chi_e$ for each QSH cycle analyzed) have been reported together in Fig. 4 as function of the dominant and secondary modes (rms) normalized to the magnetic field at the edge $B(a)$. A weak correlation is visible, as already observed in past analysis with data from the Thomson Scattering diagnostic [9]. Even if at low secondary modes ($<0.8\%$) high $\chi_e$ are still present, on the other hand only in this region values of $\chi_e<10 m^2/s$ can be found. For $b_{sec}/B(a)>0.8\%$ all the estimated $\chi_e$ are greater than $15 m^2/s$. A similar but specular trend holds also for the dominant mode in plot (b). The presence of a large range of $\chi_e$ even when secondary are small may be due to other destabilizing mechanisms still at work which are going to be deeper investigated.
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Figure 4 Values of $\chi_e$ estimated by using VMEC (black) and ASTRA (red) for several QSH cycles versus (a) secondary modes and (b) dominant mode normalized to the field at the edge $B(a)$. 