

Current distribution reconstruction for plasma scenario development at ASDEX Upgrade

R. Fischer¹, A. Bock¹, A. Burckhart¹, S.S. Denk¹, M. Dunne¹, O. Ford¹, L. Giannone¹,
A. Gude¹, M. Maraschek¹, R.M. McDermott¹, E. Poli¹, M. Rampp², D. Rittich¹,
M. Weiland¹, M. Willensdorfer¹, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team

¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

²*Max Planck Computing and Data Facility, Giessenbachstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

Fast and reliable reconstruction of the current distribution is of major interest for experimental on-the-fly plasma scenario development, in particular for the development of advanced scenarios where fine-tuning of the q -profile using heating and current-drive actuators is desired [1]. The goal is to reconstruct the most reliable equilibrium achievable from all available experimental data and modelling constraints before the setting of the next plasma discharge has to be decided. The number of plasma discharges for the typically challenging plasma performance optimisation is often large but required to be as small as possible for budget reasons. The equilibrium reconstruction for performance optimisation between discharges is to be distinguished from real-time equilibrium reconstructions [2] and most sophisticated equilibrium reconstructions converged with all diagnostics data interpretation [3]. Real-time equilibrium reconstruction is based on a reduced set of available real-time diagnostics and does not allow for time consuming forward modelling of, e.g., electron cyclotron emission (ECE) and lithium beam, profile diagnostics. A consistent set of equilibria and profile reconstructions from all available diagnostics with high spatial and temporal resolution is, at the moment, too time consuming for an inter-discharge analysis. At ASDEX Upgrade the goal is to have the most reliable current and q -profiles within 20 min after the previous discharge for plasma parameter tuning for the next discharge. The first 10 min are foreseen for kinetic profile reconstruction employing an integrated data analysis (IDA) [4] approach of all profile diagnostics available shortly after the previous plasma discharge (not necessarily in real-time). The next 10 min are dedicated for equilibrium reconstruction with a temporal resolution of 5 ms for a typical plasma discharge of 8 s. This work shows the state-of-the-art realisation of an inter-discharge equilibrium and profile reconstruction at ASDEX Upgrade.

Equilibrium reconstruction employing pressure constraints, bootstrap current evaluation and current diffusion modelling benefits from profiles of thermal temperature and density for electrons and ions $(T, n)_{e,i}$, fast-ion density and pressure $(n, p)_{fast}$, effective charge Z_{eff} and plasma rotation v_{tor} . Table 1 summaries the results (✓) and dependences (d) of the various profile di-

	T_e	n_e	T_i	n_i	v_{tor}	Z_{eff}	n_{fa}	p_{fa}	j_{EC}	j_{NB}	j_{BS}	j_{tor}	t_{ST}	equ
ECE	✓	d												d
LIB	d	✓												d
TS	✓	✓												d
DCN		✓												d
CXRS		d	✓	✓	✓	✓								d
SXR													✓	d
TORBEAM	d	d				d			✓					d
RABBIT	d	d	d	d	d	d	✓	✓		✓				d
BOOTSTRAP	d	d	d	d		d	d				✓			d
ST reconnect.												✓	d	d
CDE	d	d	d	d	d	d	d		d	d	d	✓		d
IDE	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	✓

Table 1: Results (✓) and dependences (d) of the profile diagnostics (ECE, LIB, TS, DCN, CXRS), the sawtooth diagnostic (SXR), the driven current and fast particle modelling codes (TORBEAM, RABBIT, BOOTSTRAP), the sawtooth (ST) reconnection modelling, the current diffusion modelling (CDE) coupled with the equilibrium solver (IDE).

agnostics and modelling codes. The $(T, n)_e$ profiles are provided by analysing a combined set of measurements from ECE, interferometry (DCN), Thomson scattering (TS) and lithium beam (LIB) diagnostics [4]. The forward modelling of the ECE including radiation transport modelling and of the LIB emission profile are one of the most time consuming evaluations of the first 10 min IDA analysis. ECE modelling provides T_e but depends on n_e profiles and the LIB modelling vice versa. The $(T, n)_i$ and v_{tor} profiles are provided by various charge exchange recombination spectroscopy diagnostics [5]. These ion profiles are fitted by cubic splines to all available data from the lines-of-sight of the various CXRS diagnostics. Since the available CXRS set depends on the neutral heating beams used, the full set of diagnostics is typically not available. In case of an ohmic plasma T_i is approximated by a simple fitting function of T_e/T_i from figure 6d in [6], $T_e/T_i = 1 + 0.2/v_{\text{eff}}$, employing the effective collisionality v_{eff} [6]. For non-ohmic discharges without neutral beam heating $T_i = T_e$ is assumed.

The analysis of all diagnostics depends on a magnetic equilibrium providing a common coordinate system. The first IDA evaluations are performed with a function parameterised equilibrium (FPP) [7] available shortly after the discharge. FPP equilibria are known to be less precise than a Grad-Shafranov (GS) solution but provide a good starting point for a first iteration. IDA T_e and n_e profiles are obtained in about 2 min per time point allowing for 1500 sets of profiles in 10 min on a linux cluster employing 300 parallel jobs. This corresponds to 5 ms temporal resolution for a discharge with typically 8 s duration. Since profile reconstruction for different time points are treated independently, the temporal resolution directly scales with the cluster size.

The equilibrium reconstruction is based on the coupling of an *interpretive* GS solver with the integration of the *predictive* current diffusion equation (CDE) employing a physical coupling of equilibria of neighboring time points. The source current profiles for the CDE are given by j_{EC} , j_{NB} and j_{BS} : The electron-cyclotron driven current j_{EC} is evaluated with a recently upgraded TORBEAM code [8]. The profiles of $(n, p)_{fast}$ and of the neutral-beam driven current j_{NB} are provided from the recently developed RABBIT code [9]. The bootstrap current profile j_{BS} is calculated with a recent extension of the Sauter formula [10]. Whenever a ST crash appears the current diffusion is replaced with a current redistribution scheme according to a Kadomtsev reconnection model or a $q = 1$ surface conserving variant. t_{ST} are determined with an automated sawtooth detection algorithm using soft X-ray (SXR) diagnostics [11]. The toroidal current profile resulting from the CDE or the ST current redistribution is provided as a constraint (with uncertainties) additional to all magnetic data of an extended set of poloidal-field and diamagnetic-loop measurements, pressure constraints and internal current measurements from (imaging)MSE and polarimetry, if available.

The temporal constraints of the equilibrium reconstruction are met with the equilibrium code IDE, employing a fast Grad-Shafranov solver [12], and a parallelisation strategy based on the Message-Passing Interface (MPI) and OpenMP threads, as detailed in [13]. In addition to the MPI-parallel computation of the GS-solver response matrix, MPI is used to operate the TORBEAM code [8] in parallel for up to 8 EC-beams for the CD-integration. The RABBIT code [9] is executed in parallel for up to 8 NI-beams using OpenMP. A standard, server-class machine (Intel Xeon E5-2680v3 with 24 cores at 2.50GHz) is sufficient to run the entire calculation with 1600 time steps within about 9 minutes (AUG #33134 with 4 EC beams, 6 NI beams, employing a spatial grid of 65x129 points, 28 basis functions, and a temporal resolution of 5 ms). Even after thorough parallelisation and optimisation of the GS-solver response matrix computation, and by taking advantage of some significant optimisations we have contributed to the TORBEAM code on top of the version described in [8], these two components remain by far the most significant, individual time consumers, using about 20% (response matrix) and 30% (TORBEAM) of the total runtime, respectively. Thanks to the rather flexible parallelisation scheme [13] we can easily compensate larger numbers of EC beams, NI beams, or basis functions by parallel scaling up to about 40 cores of a server with latest-generation processor technology which is about to be deployed at AUG.

Summarising, the set of profile diagnostics, the modelling codes of the driven current and fast particles profiles, and the coupling of an equilibrium solver with the current diffusion provides the basis for fast and reliable reconstruction of the current distribution for on-the-fly plasma

scenario development. The method will be applied for the first time in the 2018/2019 ASDEX Upgrade campaign.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

References

- [1] A. Bock, H. Doerk, R. Fischer, D. Rittich, J. Stober, A. Burckhart, E. Fable, B. Geiger, A. Mlynek, M. Reich, H. Zohm, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Physics of Plasmas*, 25:056115, 2018.
- [2] L. Giannone, R. Fischer, P.J. McCarthy, T. Odstrcil, I. Zammuto, A. Bock, G. Conway, J.C. Fuchs, A. Gude, V. Igochine, A. Kallenbach, K. Lackner, M. Maraschek, C. Rapson, Q. Ruan, K.H. Schuhbeck, W. Suttrop, L. Wenzel, and ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 100:519–524, 2015.
- [3] R. Fischer, A. Bock, M. Dunne, J.C. Fuchs, L. Giannone, K. Lackner, P.J. McCarthy, E. Poli, R. Preuss, M. Rampp, M. Schubert, J. Stober, W. Suttrop, G. Tardini, M. Weiland, and ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Fusion Sci. Technol.*, 69:526–536, 2016.
- [4] R. Fischer, C.J. Fuchs, B. Kurzan, W. Suttrop, E. Wolfrum, and ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Fusion Sci. Technol.*, 58:675–684, 2010.
- [5] R. M. McDermott, A. Lebschy, B. Geiger, C. Bruhn, M. Cavedon, M. Dunne, R. Dux, R. Fischer, A. Kappatou, T. Pütterich, E. Viezzer, and ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 88:073508, 2017.
- [6] R. M. McDermott, C. Angioni, G.D. Conway, R. Dux, E. Fable, R. Fischer, T. Pütterich, F. Ryter, E. Viezzer, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Nucl. Fusion*, 54:043009, 2014.
- [7] P.J. McCarthy, S. O’Mahony, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team. In A. Becoulet, T. Hoang, and U. Stroth, editors, *EPS 2011 / Europhysics Conference Abstracts*, volume 35G, page P2.092. European Physical Society, Geneva, 2011.
- [8] E. Poli, A. Bock, M. Lochbrunner, O. Maj, M. Reich, A. Snicker, A. Stegmeir, F. Volpe, N. Bertelli, R. Bilato, G.D. Conway, D. Farina, F. Felici, L. Figini, R. Fischer, C. Galperti, T. Happel, Y.R. Lin-Liu, N.B. Marushchenko, U. Mszanowski, F.M. Poli, J. Stober, E. Westerhof, R. Zille, A.G. Peeters, and G.V. Pereverzev. *Computer Physics Communications*, 225:36–46, 2018.
- [9] M. Weiland, R. Bilato, R. Dux, B. Geiger, A. Lebschy, F. Felici, R. Fischer, D. Rittich, M. Van Zeeland, the ASDEX Upgrade team, and the Eurofusion MST1 team. *Nucl. Fusion*, in press, 2018.
- [10] R. Hager and C.S. Chang. *Physics of Plasmas*, 23:042502, 2016.
- [11] A. Gude, M. Maraschek, O. Kardaun, and the ASDEX Upgrade team. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 59:095009, 2017.
- [12] M. Rampp, R. Preuss, R. Fischer, K. Hallatschek, and L. Giannone. *Fusion Sci. Technol.*, 62(3):409–418, 2012.
- [13] M. Rampp, R. Preuss, R. Fischer, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team. *Fusion Sci. Technol.*, 70(1):1–13, 2016.